IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 337 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 477 OF 2005

STATE OF GOA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY (MINES) ....Applicant

Versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY ....Respondent

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms.Rakhi Chodankar, Additional Government Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. M. S. Sonak, Advocate for the Original Petitioners.

Coram:- SMT. RANJANA DESAI & N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 10th July, 2006

P.C.:-

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

The respondents have sought extension of the period for disposing of the representation dated 7-12-2005 made by the petitioner by another six months.

Mr. M. S. Sonak, learned Counsel for applicants states that six months is too long a period and only three months may be granted.

In the circumstances of the case and for the reasons stated in the Miscellaneous Civil Application, in our opinion, prayer (A) of the Miscellaneous Civil Application needs to be granted.

We hope that no further extension is sought. Hence, Miscellaneous

Civil Application is made absolute in terms of prayer (A).

Miscellaneous Civil Application is disposed of.

SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.